



Survey Evaluation Results

Dear TODD YOUNG,

In this report you will find course evaluations for the Spring Semester of the 2012-2013 academic year. The overall indicator is listed first. It consists of the following scales:

- Instructor Evaluation
- Course Evaluation

The overall indicator is followed by the individual average values of the scales mentioned above.

In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed.

Your Class Climate Administrator
Kelly Pero




Overall indicators

Global Index

2. Instructor Evaluation


3. Course Evaluation



Survey Results

Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses
 av.=Mean
 md=Median
 dev.=Std. Dev.
 ab.=Abstention

2. Instructor Evaluation


2.1) Instructor created an environment that was conducive to learning.




2.2) Instructor gave clear explanations.




2.3) Instructor used helpful examples and illustrations.




2.4) Instructor consistently followed grading criteria.




2.5) Instructor provided useful feedback.



2.6) Instructor provided timely feedback.




2.7) Instructor made herself or himself available for assistance outside of class.




3. Course Evaluation


3.1) Outside class activities (readings, assignments, homework, problem sets, etc.) helped me to understand the subject.




3.2) In-class activities (lecture, discussion, handouts, group-work, etc.) contributed to my understanding of the subject.




3.3) This course challenged me intellectually.



3.4) Course grading criteria were communicated clearly.




3.5) Course objectives were met.




4. Additional Questions


4.1) Instructor encouraged participation.




4.2) Instructor was respectful to students.




4.3) Examinations were a good test of my knowledge.



4.4) Overall, considering its content, design and structure, this course was excellent.



4.5) Instructor was an effective teacher.



Profile

Subunit: **A&S-MATH**
 Name of the instructor: **TODD YOUNG**
 Name of the course: **MATH (MATH5400100_2135)**
 (Name of the survey)

2. Instructor Evaluation

2.1) Instructor created an environment that was conducive to learning.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67
2.2) Instructor gave clear explanations.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4
2.3) Instructor used helpful examples and illustrations.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67
2.4) Instructor consistently followed grading criteria.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=5
2.5) Instructor provided useful feedback.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67
2.6) Instructor provided timely feedback.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67
2.7) Instructor made herself or himself available for assistance outside of class.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=5

3. Course Evaluation

3.1) Outside class activities (readings, assignments, homework, problem sets, etc.) helped me to understand the subject.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67
3.2) In-class activities (lecture, discussion, handouts, group-work, etc.) contributed to my understanding of the subject.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67
3.3) This course challenged me intellectually.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=5
3.4) Course grading criteria were communicated clearly.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=5
3.5) Course objectives were met.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67

4. Additional Questions

4.1) Instructor encouraged participation.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67
4.2) Instructor was respectful to students.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=5
4.3) Examinations were a good test of my knowledge.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67
4.4) Overall, considering its content, design and structure, this course was excellent.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67
4.5) Instructor was an effective teacher.	STRONGLY DISAGREE						STRONGLY AGREE	n=3 av.=4.67

Comments Report

5. Open Response

5.1) What do you consider to be the greatest **STRENGTH** of the **INSTRUCTOR**?

- Dr. Young obviously knows the subject very well and enjoys teaching it. Even when he is busy, he is always willing to take the time to answer questions and explain things. His enthusiasm is contagious and his helpfulness is appreciated.
- Dr. Young presented things clearly and was easy to follow. He often drew pictures and diagrams to ensure our understanding of theorems and results. I found that to be very helpful.

5.3) What do you consider to be the greatest **STRENGTH** of the **COURSE**? (texts, content, etc.)?

- The material was interesting, the assignments were instructive, and the tests were reasonable.